

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/580,271	MINAMINO ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
REZWANUL MAHMOOD	2164	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) REZWANUL MAHMOOD. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) TRENTON J. ROCHE (Reg. No. 61,164). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 April 2010

**Time:** afternoon

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 13

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. M./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2164

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: We discussed claim amendments to independent claim 1 and 13 to overcome a potential lack of antecedent basis rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to make changes to the claim language to overcome the problem and push the application in condition for allowance.